



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/537,659	03/29/2000	Krishna Murthy	199-1255	5957
75	90 04/27/2005		EXAM	INER
ATTEN: DANIEL H. BLISS			CRAIG, DWIN M	
BLISS McGLYNN, P.C. 2075 W. BIG BEAVER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600 TROY, MI 48084			2123	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application/Control Number: 09/537,659

Art Unit: 2123

Application No. 09/537,659

Art Unit 2123

The Reply brief filed 1-21-2005 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

LES PRESENTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

Page 2

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

REPLY BRIEF

Art Unit:	2123	

Examiner: E. Garcia Otero

Applicant(s): Krishna Murthy et al.

Serial No.: 09/537,659

Filing Date: March 29, 2000

For: METHOD OF INTEGRATING

PRODUCT INFORMATION

MANAGEMENT WITH VEHICLE

DESIGN

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This Reply Brief is directed to points of argument raised in the Examiner's Answer dated November 18, 2004 for the above-identified application. As to procedural matters, on page 2, the Examiner states that the brief does not contain a statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal. Applicants, on page 1 of their Appeal Brief, specifically state that there are no related appeals or interferences regarding the present application. Attached is a copy of page 1 the Appeal Brief. As such, the Appeal Brief contains a statement identifying related appeals and interferences to which there are none.

As to substantive matters, on page 11 of the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner argues that, although Juran does not use the claim 1 term "selecting a vehicle program

Noted Upilos DMC.